REMARKS

Claims

The original specification as filed had 42 claims which were pending in the application. The claims 1 and 29-30 are currently amended herein to clarify the terms "threshold limit" and "fibrillation" as used in the claims such that the claims are clearly understood as being different than the prior art previously submitted in the form of an IDS. Claims 1-42 are currently pending in the application. No new matter has been introduced by these claim amendments.

The term "threshold limit" as used herein corresponds to a predetermined heart rate value (e.g., in units of beats per minute). Therefore, the threshold limits are rate thresholds and not, for example, amplitude thresholds. Furthermore, a "redection" as used herein mean the redetection of the same tachykardia or fibrillation episode which was once detected and then treated. "Redetection" means the redetection of the same tachykardia or fibrillation episode after an unsuccessful treatment of that same episode, not a previous episode.

In view of the foregoing arguments the applicant submits that the claims are in a condition to permit allowance. Therefore the applicant requests early and favorable disposition of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP 1225 W. Market St. Akron, OH 44313 330-864-5550 Fax 330-864-7986

David J. Muzilla Reg. No. 50,914

dmuzilla@hahnlaw.com